

# Hedging Barrier Options via a General Self-Duality

Thorsten Rheinländer

Vienna University of Technology

September 1, 2013

# Different concepts of hedging

- **Dynamic hedging:** portfolio gets adjusted continuously
  - ⊕ Typically gives a good approximation to the option price
  - ⊖ Incurs high transaction costs; high model risk for exotic options
- **Static hedging:** hedging instruments get purchased only at inception of the contract
  - ⊕ Minimal transaction costs, often model independent
  - ⊖ Does not reflect the path-dependence of exotic options, therefore gives only a poor hedging performance
- **Semi-static hedging:** trading takes place at inception and at finitely many random times 'when events happen'
  - ⊕ Yields sometimes an exact match to the payoff of certain exotic options by very basic options
  - ⊗ Is to a certain degree model-dependent (to be discussed)

- 1 **Exotic derivatives:** Barrier options, Asian options, Lookback options, Variance Swaps etc. : Should be converted into simpler ones by dual market principles or *semi-statically* hedged. This sometimes can be achieved by a hedging portfolio of European options.
- 2 **European options:** Payoff depends only on the price of the underlying asset at maturity. These can be approximated *statically* by a portfolio of simple call and put options as well as futures at various strike levels.
- 3 **Plain vanilla options:** These can be hedged *dynamically* with the underlying asset.

## Definition

Let  $S = \exp(X)$  be a martingale with  $E[S_T] = 1$ . We define the **dual measure**  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$  by

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} = S_T.$$

The **dual process**  $\hat{S}$  is

$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{S} = \exp(-X).$$

By Bayes' formula,  $\hat{S}$  is a martingale with respect to  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ .

- Under certain symmetry assumptions, Asian and lookback options with floating and fixed strike are equivalent under duality, see Eberlein, Papapantoleon, Shiryaev (2008).

# The Russian option

- Let  $S$  be the price process of a risky asset,  $r > 0$  the interest rate. The value of the infinite time horizon Russian option (Shepp & Shiryaev (1994)) is

$$V = \sup_{\tau \geq 0} E_{\mathbb{P}} \left[ e^{-r\tau} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau} S_u \right]$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times  $\tau$ .

- Suppose  $S$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -martingale and define a consistent family of dual measures  $(\mathbb{Q}_T)$  via  $d\mathbb{Q}_T/d\mathbb{P} = S_T/S_0$  so that there exists a measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty})$  such that the restriction of  $\mathbb{Q}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_T$  equals  $\mathbb{Q}_T$ .
- The value of the Russian option can then be written as

$$V = \sup_{\tau \geq 0} E_{\mathbb{P}} \left[ S_{\tau} e^{-r\tau} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau} \frac{S_u}{S_{\tau}} \right] = \sup_{\tau \geq 0} E_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ e^{-r\tau} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau} \frac{S_u}{S_{\tau}} \right].$$

- Let  $M$  be a continuous  $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale vanishing at zero and such that  $[M]_{\infty} = \infty$ , and consider its DDS representation  $M = B_{[M]}$ . The process  $M$  is called an **Ocone martingale** if  $B$  and  $[M]$  are independent.
- Let  $B, W$  be two independent Brownian motions. An example of an Ocone martingale is provided by

$$dM = V dB \tag{1}$$

where  $V$  is  $\mathbb{F}^W$ -adapted and such that  $M$  is a martingale. In this case we say that  $M$  is an Ocone SV-model.

- We assume that there exists a weak solution  $Z$  to the SDE

$$dZ = dM + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(Z) d[M].$$

This is true if  $M$  is an Ocone SV-model, but it is doubtful whether it is true for Ocone martingales in general (Vostrikova & Yor (2000)).

- Variation of a theme by Lévy: relates the reflected process  $|Z|$  to the drifting process

$$X = M - \frac{1}{2}[M]$$

reflected off its maximum  $X^* := \sup X$ .

- **Proposition.** Let  $M$  be an Ocone SV-model, then

$$|Z| \sim X^* - X.$$

- As a consequence, the value of the Russian option can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \sup_{\tau \geq 0} E_{\mathbb{P}} \left[ S_{\tau} e^{-r\tau} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau} \frac{S_u}{S_{\tau}} \right] \\ &= \sup_{\tau \geq 0} E_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ e^{-r\tau} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau} \frac{S_u}{S_{\tau}} \right] = \sup_{\tau \geq 0} E_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ e^{-r\tau} e^{|Z_{\tau}|} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- Let the price process be modelled as a continuous stochastic volatility model with correlation.
- Consider a down-and-in call with strike higher than the barrier level, or its up-and-in put analogue.
- We provide a replicating portfolio by trading in stock, realized volatility and cumulative volatility.
- In contrast to market completion by trading in stock and a vanilla option, this does not require to solve a PDE.
- Our method relies on a general self-duality result, whereby duality is to be understood in the sense of dual market; see Eberlein, Papantoleon and Shiryaev (2008).



# Motivation

- Let  $S$  be the price process of some risky asset, modelled as a geometric Brownian motion.
- Consider a down-and-in call option with strike price  $K$ , maturity  $T$  and barrier level  $B < K$ . We denote  $\tau := \inf\{t : S_t \leq B\}$  and assume  $S_0 > B$  and that the interest rate is zero.
- If the barrier has been hit before  $T$ , the fair price of this option at the barrier is

$$E_{\tau}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ (S_T - K)^+ \right],$$

where  $E_{\tau}^{\mathbb{P}}$  denotes the conditional expectation with respect to the Brownian filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ .

- Carr & Chou (1997): This conditional expectation is equal to

$$E_{\tau}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \frac{S_T}{B} \left( \frac{B^2}{S_T} - K \right)^+ \right].$$

**Definition.** A non-negative adapted process  $S$  is **self-dual** if for any non-negative Borel function  $g$  and any stopping time  $\tau \in [0, T]$ ,

$$E_{\tau}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ g \left( \frac{S_T}{S_{\tau}} \right) \right] = E_{\tau}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \frac{S_T}{S_{\tau}} \right) g \left( \frac{S_{\tau}}{S_T} \right) \right].$$

- The semi-static replication of the down-and-in call works more generally for continuous self-dual price processes: Carr & Lee (2009), Molchanov & Schmutz (2010). A typical example is a stochastic volatility model where price process and volatility are uncorrelated.

# Correlated stochastic volatility models

- Consider the following stochastic volatility model on a time interval  $[0, T]$  under a risk-neutral measure  $\mathbb{P}$  :

$$\begin{aligned}dS_t &= r dt + \sigma(V_t) S_t dZ_t, & S_0 &= s_0 > 0, \\dV_t &= \mu(V_t) dt + \gamma(V_t) dW_t, & V_0 &= v_0 > 0.\end{aligned}$$

- Here  $Z, W$  are two Brownian motions with correlation  $\rho \in [-1, 1]$ . Let  $Z = \rho W + \bar{\rho} W^\perp$ , where  $W$  and  $W^\perp$  are independent standard Brownian motions and  $\bar{\rho} = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$ .
- We assume that the functions  $\sigma, \mu, \gamma$  are such that there exists a weak solution  $(S, V)$ , and that  $\sigma(V)$  is non-zero on  $[0, T]$ . The filtration is set to be  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}^{S, V}$ , the filtration generated by  $S$  and  $V$ .

- Main idea to deal with the *asymmetry risk*: a multiplicative decomposition

$$S = M \times R$$

of the price process  $S$  into a self-dual part  $M$  and an asymmetric remainder term  $R$ .

- We take  $R_T$  as Radon-Nikodym derivative to deal with the asymmetry problem via a change of measure:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = e^{-rt} R_t, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

- The **modified price process**  $D$  under the measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  is defined as

$$D = \frac{S}{R^2} = \frac{M}{R}.$$

- We denote by  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$  the dual measure associated with the process  $D$  with respect to  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where

$$\frac{d\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}}{d\mathbb{Q}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = e^{rt} D_t, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

- The **general self-duality** holds in our model: for all positive Borel functions  $g$ , stopping times  $\tau \in [0, T]$ ,

$$E_{\tau}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ g \left( \frac{S_T}{S_{\tau}} \right) \right] = E_{\tau}^{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} \left[ g \left( \frac{D_{\tau}}{D_T} \right) \right],$$

as well as the **dual general self-duality**:

$$E_{\tau}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ g \left( \frac{D_T}{D_{\tau}} \right) \right] = E_{\tau}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} \left[ g \left( \frac{S_{\tau}}{S_T} \right) \right].$$

- In the classical self-dual case, self-duality and dual self-duality coincide.

- The fair price of the same down-and-in call as before at the barrier is

$$E_{\tau}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ e^{-r(T-\tau)} (S_T - K)^+ \right].$$

- This expectation is difficult to evaluate in our context. By the general self-duality, this equals ( $\tau < T$ )

$$E_{\tau}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \Gamma_{\tau}^{\mathbb{Q}} \right] = KE_{\tau}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ e^{-r(T-\tau)} \left( \frac{B}{K} - \frac{D_T}{D_{\tau}} \right)^+ \right].$$

- In contrast to  $S_{\tau} = B$ , here  $D_{\tau}$  is a random variable. Moreover,  $D$  is not a traded instrument, however can be explicitly written as product of  $S$  and some functional of the volatility.

# Replicating hedging strategy

- Recall that

$$\Gamma_{\tau}^Q = K \left( \frac{B}{K} - \frac{D_{\tau}}{D_{\tau}} \right)^+.$$

- We write

$$u(x) = K \left( \frac{B}{K} - x \right)^+.$$

By Ito's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} u \left( \frac{D_{\tau}}{D_{\tau}} \right) &= u(1) + \int_{\tau}^T \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{D_t}{S_t} dS_t - 2\rho \int_{\tau}^T \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} D_t \frac{\sigma(V_t)}{\gamma(V_t)} dV_t \\ &\quad - 2r \int_{\tau}^T \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} D_t dt + \int_{\tau}^T \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} D_t \left( \rho^2 + 2\rho \frac{\mu(V_t)}{\sigma(V_t)\gamma(V_t)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} D_t^2 \left( \frac{1}{S_t^2} + 4\rho^2 - \frac{4\rho^2}{S_t} \right) \right) \sigma^2(V_t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

- Finally, substitute

$$D_t = S_t \exp \left( -2rt - 2\rho \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(V_s)}{\gamma(V_s)} dV_s - \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(V_s)\mu(V_s)}{\gamma(V_s)} ds \right) \\ \times \exp \left( \rho^2 \int_0^t \sigma^2(V_s) ds \right).$$

- This gives a replicating hedge by dynamically trading in stock, realized variance and bond.
- By using Malliavin calculus, we obtain pricing formulae involving higher greeks.
- Moreover, we give a second order approximation to the price of the barrier option.



- $v_t^2 = \frac{1}{T-t} \int_t^T E^{\mathbb{Q}} (\sigma_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_t) ds$ . That is,  $v_t^2$  denotes the squared time future average volatility.
- $N_t = \int_0^T E^{\mathbb{Q}} (\sigma_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_t) ds$ .
- For all  $t < T$ ,  $V_t$  denotes the value at time  $t$  of a put option with payoff

$$G(t, D) = K \left( \frac{B}{K} - \frac{D_T}{D_t} \right)^+.$$

# Approximation of barrier option price



$$\begin{aligned} V_t &\approx P_{BS}(t, X_t, v_t) \\ &+ \frac{\rho}{2} H(t, X_t, v_t) E^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)} \sigma_s d \langle N, W \rangle_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &+ \frac{K}{8} J(t, X_t, v_t) E^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)} d \langle N, N \rangle_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Note that, in the above equation,  $H(t, X_t, v_t)$  and  $J(t, X_t, v_t)$  are model-independent and can be written explicitly as:

$$H(t, X_t, v_t) = \frac{e^{X_t}}{v_t^2 (T-t) \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{d_+^2}{2}\right) (-d_-)$$

and

$$J(t, X_t, v_t) = \frac{e^{X_t}}{(v_t \sqrt{T-t})^3 \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{d_+^2}{2}\right) (d_+ d_- - 1).$$

- **Conclusion:** for symmetric continuous SV models, the classical method breaks down in the case there is a significant skewness. One has to hedge also with volatility related instruments.

- Carr, P., Chou, A. (1997) Breaking barriers, *Risk* **10**, pp. 139–145
- Carr, P., Lee, R. (2009) Put-call symmetry: extensions and applications. *Mathematical Finance* **19**, 523–560
- Eberlein, E., Papapantoleon, A., Shiryaev, A.N. (2008). On the duality principle in option pricing: semimartingale setting. *Finance and Stochastics* **12**, 265–292
- Molchanov, I., Schmutz, M. (2010) Multivariate extension of put-call symmetry. *SIAM Journal of Financial Mathematics* **1** 398–426
- Vostrikova, L., Yor, M. (2000) Some invariance properties of Ocone's martingales. *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXIV*, 417–43
- Xiao, Y. (2009) R-minimizing hedging in an incomplete market: Malliavin calculus approach. Available at SSRN